GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY Sam Nunn School of International Affairs

Essentials of Negotiation - 30566 - INTA 3104 Spring 2016

Eliza Markley

Class Meetings: MWF 2.05 - 2.55 pm, Instructional Center 213

Office Hours: MW 12-1.00, Ivan Allen College, Room 141

Phone: 404-894-7173

E-mail: eliza.markley@inta.gatech.edu

Core Area

Students will demonstrate the ability to apply different techniques of negotiation based on their desired outcome. They will be able to recognize cross-cultural differences in negotiation and recommend negotiation strategies in international settings.

Course Description

This course covers theoretical and practical aspects of international negotiation. Students will study historical negotiation processes, cross-cultural issues of negotiation, the differences in worldview, and the ethical dimensions of negotiation. Active simulations where dialogue and deliberation can be practiced will be the hands-on part of the class work.

Learning Outcomes

Students will:

- Be able to describe the different negotiation techniques and their role in reaching agreements.
- Will understand cultural differences and their impact in international negotiations.
- Students will be able to use their knowledge of international negotiation in a practical problem-solving way to address issues of immediate international concern.
- Will think critically about the United States' role in various negotiating instances.
- Will be able to work in small groups in a way that demonstrates respect for their colleagues and efficiency in working collaboratively towards projects and goals.

Required Textbooks

- 1. Lewicki, Barry, & Saunders, Essentials of *Negotiation* (6th ed.).
- 2. Cohen, *Negotiating Across Cultures* (revised ed.), 1997 Additional required readings will be posted on T-square.

Course Requirements

1. Class Participation – 10% of course grade

Students will be expected to attend all classes, complete all assigned readings, and participate in classroom discussions on the subjects addressed in the readings and lectures. Most importantly, students need to take part in all in-class simulations organized throughout the course. Lectures during the course may not cover the readings and will often present new ideas and information. You are responsible for the information contained in the reading, whether it is covered or not in lectures, as well as for the information in the lectures.

Note: Participation grade is based on active and constructive contribution to class discussions, as well as on attendance. In this sense, for every three unexcused absences you will lose one participation point.

2. Quizzes – 10% of course grade.

There will be occasional, unannounced quizzes on the readings and/or material provided during the lecture. **There will be no make up quizzes**. If you miss a quiz and have an approved excuse or you took the quiz but no grade has been posted, you must make that known to the **instructor within a week of the date of the quiz.** If you fail to do so, you will not be given credit for an excused absence. If you have an excused absence, your overall quiz grade will be the average of the quizzes you took.

3. Negotiation advisory memo - 30% of course grade

In a paper of 2,000-2,400 words (*indicate word count*), develop an advisory memo from the position of a trusted adviser to a negotiator. Please select an international negotiation that has received sufficient coverage to allow you to examine the parties' strategies, tactics, and other behaviors. The memo will consist of two distinct sections:

- a. Overview/context (no more than 400 words): identify the parties and their relationship, their respective goals, the issues and broader context of the negotiation, other relevant information.
- b. Analysis and recommendations (1,600-2,000 words): employ four or five course concepts to analyze the negotiation and to ground your recommendations. Be sure to present the concepts and their application in language accessible to non-academic readers. For each recommendation (or discussion of past actions), assess the likely (or realized) effectiveness.

4. Negotiation research paper - 50% of course grade

In a paper of 3,000-3,600 words (*indicate word count*), deliver one of the following—students must confer with the faculty member to ensure focus and fit are appropriate:

- a. Comprehensive analysis of a specific negotiation
- b. Historical/comparative analysis of a specific negotiation strategy or tactic
 - c. A topic of your interest

Grading and Assessment

A = 89.5-100; B = 79.5-89.4; C = 69.5-79.4; D = 59.5-69.5; F = below 59.5

- A: Outstanding and original work; well-argued, well-organized, without significant error or omission.
- B: Very fine work, reasonably argued, clearly organized, with only slight error or omission; clearly well above the average.
- C: Solid work of a quite satisfactory nature; clear evidence of engagement and comprehension, but with some organizational, factual, or interpretive errors/omissions.
- D: Passing, but only marginally acceptable work with clear deficiencies of length, fact, organization, or interpretation; incomplete work.
- F: Unacceptable work submitted with such significant deficiencies that no credit can be awarded.

0	Participation	10%
0	Quizzes	10%
0	Advisory memo	30%
0	Research Paper	50%

Late Paper Policy

Late papers will receive 5 points deduction for each calendar day (this includes weekends) they are late.

Other Class Policies

- Laptop computers can be used in class ONLY when the instructor allows. **Abusing computer privileges will result in loss of participation points.**Moreover, students may be prohibited from using their computer for the rest of the semester (no email, Facebook, twitter, etc)
- Cell phone should be turned off or put on silent. Disruptions from such devices will adversely affect your participation grade.

- For assignments that will be submitted through T-square, students need to ensure that assignments can be opened and are readable. To ensure this, students should attach all written assignments in either .doc or .pdf formats.
- The instructor will make any effort to return your graded assignments in a timely manner (usually within two weeks).
- The instructor will respond to all emails (sent M-F) within 48 hours. If you do not receive a response in 48 hours, I probably did not receive your message and you should resend it.

Additional Information and Services

1. The Office of Disability Services – <u>adaptsinfo@gatech.edu</u> (404-894-0285)

2. Academic Honor Code

The Georgia Tech Academic Honor Code states: "Students are expected to act according to the highest ethical standards. The immediate objective of an Academic Honor Code is to prevent any Students from gaining an unfair advantage over other Students through academic misconduct. Academic misconduct is any act that does or could improperly distort Student grades or other Student academic records." Such acts include, for instance, plagiarism.

Plagiarism means using an author's exact or paraphrased words without citation or acknowledging the source of information. Whether intentional or not, plagiarism is considered cheating and will not be tolerated. If you are unsure whether something should be cited, please ask.

Course Outline and Reading Assignments

Please complete readings *prior* to the date for which they're listed

Date	Topics and Readings	Assignments		
Introduction				
Jan 11	Course introduction			
Negotiation Fundamentals				
Jan 13, 15	The nature of negotiation			
	Lewicki, Barry, & Sanders, Ch. 1			
Jan 18	School Holiday			
Jan 20, 22,	Strategy and tactics of distributive and integrative			
25	bargaining			
	Lewicki, Barry, & Sanders, Chs. 2, 3 Hopmann, "Bargaining and Problem Solving: Two Perspectives on International Negotiation," <i>Turbulent Peace</i> , 2001, Ch. 27, pp. 445-468			
Jan 27	Negotiation: Strategy and planning			

	Lewicki, Barry, & Sanders, Ch. 4	
Negotiation S		
Jan 29	Perception, Cognition, and Emotion	
5 dir 25	a see of the see, or general, man assessed	
	Lewicki, Barry, & Sanders, Ch. 6	
	Raiffa, "Negotiation Analysis," Negotiation Analysis, 2001, Ch.	
	5, pp. 81-96	
Feb 1-5	Communication	
	Finding and using negotiation power	
	1 : 1: B 0 G 1 G 7 0	
	Lewicki, Barry, & Sanders, Ch. 7, 8	
	Ury & Smoke, "Anatomy of a Crisis," <i>Negotiation Journal</i> 1,	
Negotiation	1985, pp. 93-100	
Feb 8	Ethics in negotiation	
1.60 0	Ethics in negotiation	
	Lewicki, Barry, & Sanders, Ch. 5	
Feb 10, 12	Relationships in negotiation	
,		
	Lewicki, Barry, & Sanders, Ch. 9	
Feb 15-18	Multiple parties groups, and teams in negotiation	
	Best practices in negotiation	
	Lewicki, Barry, & Sanders, Chs. 10, 12	
	Singh, "Coalitions, Developing Countries, and International	
	Trade: Research Findings and Prospects," <i>International</i>	
Chass gultum	Negotiation 11:499-514, 2006 al negotiation	
Feb 22-26	-	T
reb 22-20	International cross-cultural negotiation	
	Lewicki, Barry, & Sanders, Ch. 11	
	Cohen, Ch. 1	
Feb 29,	Negotiation: The cultural roots	
March 2	Intercultural dissonance: A theoretical framework.	
	Cohen, Chs. 2, 3	
March 4		Advisory
		Memo due
March 7-11	What is negotiable?	
	Setting out the pieces: Prenegotiation	
	Cohen, Chs. 4, 5	
Mar 14	Let the contest commence: Opening moves	
	Cohon Ch (
Man 16 10	Cohen, Ch. 6 No class. Dr. Markley attends ISA Conference	
Mar 16, 19	·	
Mar 21-25	Spring break	
Mar 28-	On tactics and players: Middle game I	
April 1	Sounds, Signals, Silence: Middle game II	

	Cohen, Chs. 7, 8	
	Rivers & Lytle, "Lying, Cheating Foreigners!! Negotiation	
	Ethics across Cultures," International Negotiation 12: 1-	
	28, 2007	
April 4-8	Under pressure: End game I	
	Face and form: End game II	
	Cohen, Chs. 9, 10	
	Colson, "The Ambassador between Light and Shade: the	
	Emergence of Secrecy as the Norm for International	
	Negotiation," International Negotiation, 13:179-195, 2008	
April 11-15	When is a deal a deal?	
	In Search of harmony	
	Cohen, Chs. 11, 12	
April 18-22	Case studies/Research Presentations	
April 25	Last day of school - Review	
April 27		Research
		Paper due