GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY Sam Nunn School of International Affairs

Ethics in International Affairs INTA 2030 Fall 2020

Dr. Eliza-Maria Markley

Online asynchronous class

Online office hours (via BlueJeans): Thursday, 1-2 pm and by appointment

E-mail: eliza.markley@inta.gatech.edu

Graduate Teaching Assistant

Phillip Kersten:

Email: <u>mkersten3@gatech.edu</u>

Online office hours: Wednesday, 2-3 pm and by appointment

Course Description

This course covers issues of moral values and ethical reasoning in international relations. It looks at the importance of <u>international political morality</u> in determining individual and collective conduct of foreign relations and examines the <u>ethical nature</u> of the rules, structures, and informal patterns of the international system. While the course emphasizes theoretical concepts and approaches, its main goal is to encourage ethical analysis by applying the concepts to specific global issues and problems.

Learning Outcomes

Students:

- 1. should demonstrate familiarity with select traditions of ethics in world politics and different modes of normative reasoning and argumentation
- 2. should be able to analyze prominent ethical issues in international relations
- 3. should become more aware of the diversity of cultural and ethical systems in the world.
- 4. should be able to apply different traditions and cultural systems of ethics in international affairs when analyzing concrete cases

Required Textbook

Amstutz, Mark, International Ethics (4th ed.).

Other readings, documentaries will be available in Canvas.

Course Requirements and Evaluation:

Discussion Board – 20% of course grade

- 1. *Introduce yourselves*. During the first week you will introduce yourself to the rest of the class.
- 2. Debates. There will be four debates throughout the semester. Students will be split in smaller groups that will allow greater interaction. Students' posts and comments should demonstrate understanding of the issue/chapter/articles content and ability to use and apply theories and concepts to various case studies debated. Note: If you join the class later, after the debate groups have been formed, email your TA asap and ask to be placed in one of the debate groups.
- 3. *How will the Debate posts be graded?* The grading rubric for posts and replies is the following:

Debate Rubric

Quality of Posts: The student highlights how the theories/concepts of the chapter can be used to explain case studies/issues – other than those included in each chapter. The student uses relevant and thought-provoking examples and applies correctly ethical concepts learned in current or previous chapters.

Research: Students back up their arguments with data and existing research. They bring additional info from newspaper articles and case studies to complement the weekly readings.

Grammar: Post is well - written and does not have grammatical errors.

Replies: A reply to a colleague's post is thought-provoking and analytical (uses concepts and empirical evidence from the material learned in class). Critical thinking is one of the course's learning objectives. If a colleague criticizes your post, do not get upset! You are supposed to provide constructive feedback to each other, so your posts get better and better.

4. Homework.

Due to the large number of students in class and only one TA, there will be only one homework assigned during the semester. Please allow about three weeks for this assignment to be graded. Specific instructions on the grading rubric will be posted at the appropriate time in Canvas.

5. Netiquette

Critical thinking is highly advisable. Questions are encouraged. Polite disagreements are fine. However:

Respect is a basic necessity in all online interactions. When critiquing a theory, point of view, etc., the arguments must be sound, well-reasoned, logical (or based on evidence) and respectful. DO differentiate between critiquing an idea (which is not only fine but also advisable) and personal attacks! The latter means attacking the person who expressed a point of view you tend to disagree with.

Language and tone are important. You may not employ lewd or threatening language in any electronic communication. This would violate the bounds of good taste as well as laws and regulations.

Quizzes – 80% of course grade

There will be six quizzes throughout the semester, with one quiz covering every two chapters. Quiz dates are included in the Course outline section. Quizzes require the "Respondus Lockdown." Please download it asap.

Grading and Assessment

A = 89.5-100; B = 79.5-89.4; C = 69.5-79.4; D = 59.5-69.5; F = below 59.5

Discussion BoardQuizzes80%

Late Posts Policy

Late posts (for the weeks when we conduct debates) or homework will receive 10 points deduction for each calendar day (this includes weekends) they are late. Please read carefully the deadlines included in the Syllabus, but most importantly, those stated in each week's announcement (for potential adjustments).

Questions, Various Issues, and Concerns

ALL questions or concerns will be addressed first to our TA, Phillip Kersten. Only when the issue does not get resolved, email Dr. Markley.

Additional Information and Services

The Office of Disability Services – adaptsinfo@gatech.edu (404-894-0285)

Academic Honor Code

The Georgia Tech Academic Honor Code states: "Students are expected to act according to the highest ethical standards. The immediate objective of an Academic Honor Code is

to prevent any Students from gaining an unfair advantage over other Students through academic misconduct. Academic misconduct is any act that does or could improperly distort Student grades or other Student academic records." Such acts include, for instance, plagiarism.

Plagiarism means using an author's exact or paraphrased words without citation or acknowledging the source of information. Whether intentional or not, plagiarism is considered cheating and will not be tolerated. If you are unsure whether something should be cited, please ask.

Course Outline and Assignments

Note: The schedule is subject to revisions. I will provide ample notice for any change.

Date/ Week	Topics and Readings	Assignments	Deadline
Week 1	Course introduction	Read Week 1	Wednesday,
8.17	Read Syllabus and post self-introductions	announcement!	8.18 @ 11.59 pm
		Post self	pin
		introduction in	
		the Discussion	
		Board	
8.19	Debate: Is Torture Ever Acceptable?	Read the two	Saturday, 8.22
	Henry Shue, "Torture in Dreamland: Disposing of	articles and	@ 11.59 pm
	the Ticking Bomb," Case Western Journal of	participate in	
	International Law, Vol. 37, Nos. 2-3 (2006), pp. 231-239.	the debate	
	Charles Krauthammer, "The Truth about Torture,"		
	The Weekly Standard, December 5, 2005.		
Week 2	Morality and Foreign Policy	Read Week 2	
8. 24 - 28	Amstutz, Ch 1	announcement!	
		Read Ch 1	
		Watch short	
		video lectures	
Week 3	Ethics and Global Society	Read Week 3	Post at least
8.31-	➤ Amstutz, Ch 2	announcement!	one debate
9.4	Debate: A cosmopolitan or communitarian response to		comment
	the coronavirus pandemic?	Read Ch 2	before
		Watch short	Thursday, 9.3
		video lectures	@ 11.59pm.
		and participate	Post follow-
		in the debate	up comments

			before 9.5 @ 11.59 pm
9.7	QUIZ 1		8 am -11.59 pm
Week 4 9.8-11	The Role of Ethical Traditions – Realism, Idealism and Principled Realism Amstutz, Ch. 3	Read Week 4 announcement! Read Ch 3 Watch short video lectures Submit Theory Application Homework	Saturday, 9.12 @ 11.59 pm
Week 5 9.14-18	Strategies of Ethical Decision Making Amstutz, Ch 4	Read Week 5 announcement! Read Ch 4 Watch short video lectures	
9.21	QUIZ 2		8 am -11.59 pm
Week 6 9.22-25	The Ethics of International Human Rights ➤ Amstutz, Ch 5	Read Week 6 announcement! Read Ch 5 Watch short video lectures	
Week 7 9.28- 10.2	The Ethics of Political Reconciliation ➤ Amstutz, Ch 6	Read Week 7 announcement! Read Ch 6 Watch video lectures	
10.5	QUIZ 3		8 am -11.59 pm
Week 8 10.6-9	The Ethics of War ➤ Amstutz, Ch 7 Film: The Fog of War	Read Week 8 announcement! Read Ch 7 Watch Film	
Week 9 10.12- 16	Debate: Was the decision to start the 2003 Iraq Warethical? ➤ John Mearsheimer and Stephen Walt, "An Unnecessary War," Foreign Policy, No 134, 2003	Read Week 9 announcement! Read the three articles Participate in the debate	Post at least one debate comment before Thursday, 10.15 @

Week 10 10.19-	 Paul W. Schroeder, "Iraq: The Case against Preemptive War," The American Conservative, 2002 Robert Kagan and William Kristol, "The Right War for the Right Reasons," The Weekly Standard, 2004 The Ethics of Irregular War Amstutz, Ch 8 Film: Terror in Moscow documentary 	Read Week 10 announcement! Read Ch 8 Watch Film	11.59pm. Post follow-up comments before 10.17 @ 11.59 pm
23 10.26	QUIZ 4	Watch Film	8 am -11.59
Week 11 10.27- 30 Week 12 11.2-6	The Ethics of Foreign Intervention ➤ Amstutz, Ch 9 Pursuing International and Global Justice ➤ Amstutz, Ch 10 Debate: Should the debt of poor countries be forgiven? ➤ Romilly Greenhill, "The Unbreakable Link – Debt Relief and the Millennium Development Goals," New Economics Foundation (Feb 2002) ➤ William Easterly, "Debt Relief," in John T. Rourke, Taking Sides: Clashing Views on Controversial Issues in the World Politics (McGraw Hill, 2004)	Read Week 11 announcement! Read Ch 9 Watch short video lectures Read Week 12 announcement! Read Ch 10 Participate in the debate	Post at least one debate comment before Thursday, 11.5 @ 11.59pm. Post follow-up comments before 11.7 @ 11.59 pm
11.9	QUIZ 5		8 am – 11.59 pm
Week 13 11.9-13	Pursuing International Justice ➤ Amstutz, Ch 11	Read Week 13 announcement! Read Ch 11	Y
Week 14 11.16- 20	Promoting Global Justice ➤ Amstutz, Ch 12 Conclusions ➤ Amstutz, Ch Conclusion	Read Week 14 announcement! Read Ch 12 and Conclusions	
11.23	Quiz 6		8 am -11.59 pm